

2.3.1 Electric potential

The fact that $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = \vec{0}$ places a limit on the types of functions which can be used for E. Your author for example states that $\vec{E} = y\hat{x}$ is not an electrostatic field that can be represented by any charge distribution because:

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ y & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0\hat{x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} + \hat{z} \frac{-\partial y}{\partial y} = -\hat{z} \neq \vec{0}$$

But for those electric fields that can be represented by a charge distribution, since the curl of those fields is zero, there exists a potential such that:

$$-\vec{\nabla} V = \vec{E}$$

We can define the potential function by:

$$V(r_p) = - \int_{\text{some reference point}}^{r_p} \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{l}$$

Assignment: Do problem 2.20 (a) and (b)